Case No. C-08-0315 MMC LEGAL_US_W # 58648491.1 STIPULATION THAT CASES ARE NOT RELATED

- 1	
1	STIPULATION
2	The parties in Mark Harris v. United Parcel Service, Inc., et al., Case No. C-08-
3	0315 MMC ("Harris I"), and Mark Harris v. United Parcel Service, Inc., et al., Case No. C-08-
4	01810 JSW ("Harris II"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:
5	1. Harris I and Harris II involve the same facts, parties, transaction, and
6	events.
7	2. However, because the Court remanded <i>Harris I</i> at an early stage, <i>i.e.</i> before
8	there was any discovery, motion practice, or court appearances, there is little to no likelihood that
9	there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense. Therefore, Harris I and
0	Harris II are not related, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 3-12(a)(2).
1	3. This matter should proceed before Judge White.
2	SO STIPULATED.
3	DATED: April 8, 2008 E. JEFFREY GRUBE KERRI N. HARPER
14	ANNA L. CHU
15	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP
16	
17	By: /s/ Kerri N. Harper KERRI N. HARPER
18	
19	Attorneys for Defendants UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC., TONY AGENJO, AND KIMBERLY MUNIZ
20	DATED: April 8, 2008 STEVEN J. MEHLMAN
21	MARC L. TERBEEK MATTHEW M. OLIVERI
22	MEHLMAN-TERBEEK, LLP
23	WILLIAM I TOTO BEAT, EST
24	By: /s/ Matthew M. Oliveri
25	MATTHEW M. OLIVERI
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK HARRIS
27	
28	C NY- C 09 0215 MMC
	Case No. C-08-0315 MMC -1- STIPULATION THAT CASES ARE NOT RELATED

LEGAL_US_W # 58648491.1